

I-75 from Gibraltar to Toledo Dix
C.S. 82191 – J.N. 79672C (plus others)

March 23, 2005

Question #1). Traffic Signal Operations--No one is prequalified in that category yet. How do you want to proceed?

Answer #1). This prequal has been removed, see the revised scope.

Question #2). Geotechnical Engineering is required yet you state that MDOT will be doing all of the soil borings, do you anticipate additional geotech work?

Answer #2). MDOT will conduct all of the geotechnical work required for the roadway portion of the project. The Consultant, however, will be responsible for all of the geotechnical work required for the bridge portion of the project.

Please see the revised scope for added bridge work.

Question #3). Two of the prequalification requirements for this project are Traffic Signal Operations which has no prequalified vendors and Utility Coordination with only one qualified vendor. Is it safe to assume that this firm must be on our team to be responsive in Utility Coordination, and please advise as to how to proceed with Traffic Signal Operations.

Answer #3). The prequals for Traffic and Safety Operations and Utility Coordination have been removed, see the attached scope.

Please note that the Consultant will be responsible for, but not limited to, submitting the initial utility requests, incorporating the information once received into the design, identifying potential conflicts, preparing a spread sheet listing each of the conflicts (broken out by utility company), preparing a set of plans locating each conflict, submitting additional information to the utility companies as needed, participating with the utility meeting(s), taking the meeting minutes and assisting MDOT personnel as necessary to resolve the conflicts and incorporating the final direction, in regards to the utility conflicts, into the project.

Question #4). Secondary Prequalification Classification are noted for Photogrammetric Control Surveys and Photogrammetry but there is not a PPMS Tasks for 3310 Photo Control or 3320 Photogrammetry. Are these to be added to the scope?

Answer #4). As part of MDOT's Scope's of Work, MDOT considers all of this work to be included within PPMS Task of 3330, and the additional tasks are not listed separately.

To that end, please note that the Consultant team will need to determine how they feel the survey information is best obtained for the project. As a suggestion, MDOT has found that low altitude photography/mapping has been appropriate in the past due to safety considerations. This, of course, would be in conjunction with a ground survey to provide supplemental data.

Question #5). Is MDOT planning on enclosing the median?

Answer #5). This will be discussed at the scope verification meeting since it was included as a suggestion within the scoping document.

Question #6). What is the existing median width?

Answer #6). From the information available, which will need to be verified during design, it appears to be approximately 36 feet (edge of pavement to edge of pavement). But this, again, will need to be discussed at the scope verification meeting.

Question #7). Are there old plans that could be viewed before the due date?

Answer #7). No.

Question #8). Is the plan completion date for this project in May or December of 2006?

Answer #8). As per the scope, the plan completion date is May 1st, 2006. The completion date for the service, or, better stated, the service completion date (ie: after plan completion and after the final deliverables have been turned in) is Dec 1, 2006.